FILED-SOUTHERN DIVISION CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR 2 APR 1 4 2011 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 Case No.: SA 11-178M UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 12 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION 13 lvs. Cartaneda, Maritza 14 15 Defendant. 16 17 I. 3 On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving: 18 19 1. () a crime of violence. 20 an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 2. () 21 3. a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence 4 22 of ten or more years. any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior 23 4. () 24 offenses described above. 25 5. any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a () 26 minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device 27 or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 28 U.S.C. § 2250.

	II.		
1	В.	()	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
2			allegedly involving:
3		A	On the further allegation by the Government of:
4		•	1. \lozenge a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
8			juror, or attempt to do so.
9	C.	The (Government (x) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
10		cond	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
11		appea	arance as required and the safety or any person or the community.
12			
13			II.
14	A.	$\langle \rangle$	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
15			reasonably assure:
16		1.	the appearance of the defendant as required.
17			(x) and/or
18		2.	the safety of any person or the community.
19	В.	(X)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
21			
22		Th - C	III.
23 24	_		Court has considered:
24 25	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
26			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves
27			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
	В.	(X)	
-	٠,	(**)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;

1	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and		
2	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.		
3					
4			IV.		
5		The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the			
6	- 1				
7	Report/recommendation.				
8					
9			V.		
10		The	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:		
11	A.	()	As to flight risk:		
12			Backard, conty ties unverified;		
13			fumily tier to Mexico;		
14			recent foreign travel experience:		
15			lack of bail resources;		
16			Backgrd, conty tier unverified; fum.ly tier to Mexico; recent foreign travel experience; lack of bail resources; lack of employment		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	В.	$\langle \! \rangle$	As to danger:		
22			notyre of the allegations		
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Case 8:11-mj-00178-DUTY Document 9 Filed 04/14/11 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:17

Page 4 of 4

27

28